

File With _____

Appeal NO: ABP 322793-25Defer Re O/H ☐

TO: SEO

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 18/07/25
fromEsther Fennel I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
be/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new material, informationE.O.: Dennis Lita CudgDate: 22/07/25**For further consideration by SEO/SAO**Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached submission

to: _____ Task No: _____

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORMAppeal No: ABP 322743-29

M _____

Please treat correspondence received on _____ as follows:

1. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

2. Acknowledge with BP 233. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐Amendments/Comments response to S131 received from
Esther Fennel**4. Attach to file**(a) R/S ☐(d) Screening ☒(b) GIS Processing ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐(c) Processing ☐RETURN TO EO ☒Daire Littleton CadenEO: Daire Littleton CadenDate: 22/07/29Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamp Filled in ☐AA: Lorraine FoleyDate: 24/07/28

AN COIMISIÚN PLEANÁLA
LDG- _____
ACP- _____
18 JUL 2025
Fee: € _____ Type: _____
Time: 8:45 By: Post

Dahill Lodge,
Colligan Wood,
Dungarvan,
Co. Waterford
X35TR70
17th July 2025

An Coimisiún Pleanála
64 Marlborough Street
Dublin 1
D01 V902

Case Number: ACP-322793-25
Planning Authority Reference Number: 21772

A Chara.

Re: Extension of satellite quarry to 13.6 hectares; construction of 40m tunnel /underpass and construction and operation of new concrete catching facility, together with all associated works. Permission sought for up to 20 years. Cappagh Quarry, Ballykenedy, Kilgreaney and Cauty Townlands Cappagh Dungarvan Co Waterford.

In response to your letter of 30th June 2025

Your Question:

"Whether a restriction is included by condition as to the number of days per year blasting occurs in the development site and if such a restriction is to be included"


We the undersigned wish to reply as follows:

Removing part of the condition stated in paragraph1 (d) of the Court document (ie: "shall not occur more than four times a year and") " will result in allowing the Applicant freedom to carry out unscheduled blasting at random within the 56 hours available each week.

Removing the schedule for blasting is totally unacceptable as it will severely affect the quality of life for the undersigned and others who reside in close proximity to the proposed new quarry development. A planned blasting frequency and intensity is therefore absolutely necessary together with timely prior notification and follow-up inspections at all resident's premises that reside in close proximity to the quarry after blasting.

Yours Sincerely.

 Esther Stack Fennell.

 John Fennell.



17.07.2025

